

Dean poll — today is the last day



SAUL FRANKEL: Vice-Dean of Arts and Science; one of McGill's negotiators with the Québec government, acts principally as a compromiser; would not state stand on representation, democratisation or other University problems; believed to be the administration's candidate for Dean if Woods leaves; not actively participating in the election.



DONALD THEALL: Chairman of the English Department; unique position of having been acceptable to students, faculty and Administration in PSA crisis as arbitrator; also in Stan Gray affair; strong supporter of course reform, democratization; platform stresses student participation in selection of dean, inter-disciplinary approach to academic problems, wider experimentation in teaching techniques, unicameral university-wide legislature to run university democratically; actively participating in election.



HARRY WOODS: Incumbent Dean; best known as labor mediator; does not necessarily consider himself candidate for Deanship, and election causes him consternation; opposed student representation in process for nominating Dean; generally unsympathetic to anything more than token student representation; his policies as Dean source of strong faculty unrest particularly in his unresponsiveness to course reform; he and Robertson at the head of the move to fire Stan Gray.

DONALD KINGSBURY: Math lecturer; strongest proponent of student-oriented course design; platform stresses overhaul of outdated decision-making processes, opening field for reform work; strong opponent of Woods, who blocked any serious work in course reform; strong supporter of democratization; actively participating in campaign.



ROBERT VOGEL: Chairman of History Department; liberal-conservative who stresses that university cannot be run as model of a state, and that it is therefore unfair to presume that decision-making bodies need be democratic; feels Deans should be selected by faculties, with some representation by students; popular among his students for his accessibility, not actively running in the election.



LEO YAFFE: Chairman of the Chemistry Department, Physical Sciences Senator; outspoken opponent of student representation and democratization, until recently opposed to open meetings; ranks high among the most conservative elements in the University; not actively participating in the campaign.



The preferential poll for Dean of Arts and Science has turned out to be a more important exercise than I had anticipated — too important for someone who is not a serious candidate to remain in the running. I am therefore asking the electors not to waste their votes on me, but to consider supporting Donald Theall, with whose views I agree substantially. My thanks to those whose signatures put me on the ballot.

Archie Malloch

Polling stations in the preferential poll for Dean of Arts and Science are located in RVC, Union, Leacock, Otto Maass, Arts, Stewart, PSC and McLennan Library. Voting will be today from 9 am to 4 pm. All Arts and Science students (including partial and limited students) are eligible to cast ballots. Electors may indicate up to three preferences, and choices will be weighted in a ratio of 3:2:1.

MCGILL DAILY

Vol. 58 — No. 75 Montreal, Wednesday, February 19, 1969, three cents

Bail denied to SGWU students

by George Lewinski

Ninety Sir George Williams students and their supporters were denied bail today, on the first day of a preliminary inquiry to prove four charges of conspiracy resulting from the violence at the university last Tuesday.

Municipal judge Emmette J. McManomy rejected repeated motions by defense counselors for bail on the grounds the hearing was an "enquête" and he was exercising judicial discretion on the matter.

Last night was the eighth the accused have spent in jail, most of them in the QPP headquarters on Fullum Street. Judge McManomy said he would consider applications for bail if they give reasons, tomorrow.

Only one person, Joan Katz, has been granted bail (for medical reasons). The hearing began shortly after ten Tuesday morning, after groups of the defendants were brought up.

The prosecution, led by Claude-Armand Sheppard, head of the Quebec Civil Liberties Union, asked that the defendants be tried individually on charges of:

- conspiracy to destroy property and endangering life.
- mischief by destroying computers.

- mischief by prohibiting access to rightful users of the computers;
- conspiracy to commit arson.

Instead of having each lawyer cross-examine witnesses, the defence and prosecution decided to accept admissions from the transcripts of the hearing so as not to prejudice the defendants, some of whom were to be prosecution witnesses.

At the afternoon session, the prosecution began by giving evidence of a general nature against Kennedy J. Frederick. Three witnesses were called, one of whom was from the police arson squad, and these gave preliminary evidence as to the state of the computing centre after the fire.

It was then that Frederick's lawyer pleaded that the charge of "endangering life" was invalid because it was not provable until damage and conspiracy to damagewere proven.

This was dismissed by the judge who ruled there are four ways to commit mischief.

After the questioning of three witnesses, the judge adjourned with assurances from the prosecution that tomorrow they would begin to prove the defendants were present at the time the computers were destroyed and the building was set afire.

Gray charged

Principal H. Locke Robertson made his next move towards firing political science lecturer Stan Gray yesterday, by formally charging him with "conduct that affected adversely or was likely to affect adversely the general well-being of the University".

Robertson delivered a statement to the press announcing he was taking the step at 8 o'clock yesterday evening, 90 minutes after the Tripartite Commission passed a motion requesting him to reconsider what he was doing. Robertson is a member of the Commission but did not appear for the meeting.

Robertson's press statement gave Gray one week (till 5 pm February 25) to accept "arbitration proceedings" in accordance with the CAUT (Canadian Association of University Teachers) policy manual.

Robertson's press statement, apparently drafted during the Tripartite Commission, during which members were trying to make a last-ditch attempt to ease the impending confrontation, read in part: "The efforts to reach an agreement between the University and Mr. Stanley Gray having failed, formal charges of willfully impeding the business of the University have been laid against Mr. Gray."

"I find it incredible that Robertson is proceeding in trying to fire me," Gray stated last night. "They demonstrated in

the hearings that they have to credible case against me. Also, they couldn't justify either the actions or policies of the university's governing bodies, and at all times refused to discuss the substantive issues involved."

"What's particularly disconcerting here he said, "is that Robertson doesn't in any

way consider himself responsible to the McGill community here — he's going against the expressed wish of my Department, Students Council, Political Science students and the Tripartite Commission. He even asked, in his letter last Thursday, for the McGill students and staff to refrain from judging the is-

Continued on page 8

Oliver, Ross walk out

Tripartite urges Locke to reconsider actions

by Sheryl Taylor Munro

The Tripartite Commission yesterday passed a motion recommending that Principal Locke Robertson reconsider his actions in attempting to dismiss Stan Gray.

This motion, weaker than that put forward by student representative David Ticoll, came after more than ninety minutes of wrangling over the propriety of the Commission even considering the Gray case.

Ticoll's resolution stated that the Commission should first censure Robertson for acting contrary to the principles agreed upon by the Commission of which he is a member at its last meeting; second that the Commission demand that Robertson drop all

proceedings against Gray; and third, that it urge Senate, the Board of Governors and their committees to proceed with and accelerate discussion and resolution of the issues raised by Gray.

At one point during the debate over whether or not the issue should be put on the agenda, Ticoll said he would resign from the Commission if it refused to consider the Gray case.

"The question of the dismissal of Gray directly contravenes the purpose of this commission," said Ticoll, "If we don't believe that this matter concerns us then I can see no purpose in continuing this commission at all".

Continued on page 3

today

SOCIETY FOR KRISHNA CONSCIOUSNESS: Transcendental meditation in sound vibrations, 3720 Park Ave. 7 pm.

SAVOY SOCIETY: Leads, 6:45 pm., Girls 7 pm. Men 7:15 pm., Moyses Hall.

DUPLICATE BRIDGE: Union Coffee Lounge. 7:15 pm.

FILM SOCIETY: Cinematrix; Nanook of the North, L132, 8 pm.

FLYING CLUB: Film to be shown, E204. 1 pm.

GEOGRAPHICAL SOCIETY: Talk by Ray O'Brien on "Fun City Bird Cage, PSC 348. 1 pm.

YOGA: Union 457 - 8, Ballroom. 4:20 pm.

U.S. STUDENTS SOCIETY: Discussion of the Draft as it has developed, Union 123-4. 8 pm.

CERCLE FRANCAIS: Prof. Amboussat: Dialogue sur les pays Communistes; Education; Systeme Politique, Economie, B23. 1-2 pm.

BAHAI ASSOCIATION: Talk and Discussion: Georges Amogon on "The Birth of World Religion", Union 124. 1 pm.

PHYSICS SOCIETY: Canadian Association of Physicists Lecturer - Dr. Erich Vogt "Meson Factories, the next Generation in Nuclear Physics."

NEWMAN: Political Meeting, 1 pm.

SOCIETY FOR HERZEGOVIAN DEFAMATION: Rally supporting Bosnian War Crimes - Bring Refreshments, PSCA, 7 pm.

HILLEL: open Meeting on the Revised Constitution, L-219. 8 pm.

CHORAL SOCIETY: Executive Meeting. Special Rehearsal for Soprano, Union 413, RVC Green Room. 5 pm and 1 pm.

COURSE GUIDE: Financial Committee Meeting, Office. 1 pm.

YELLOW DOOR: Ronney Abramson, 3625 Aylmer. 8:30 pm.

CARNIVAL FASHION SHOW: Union Ballroom. 1 pm.

DEBATING TOURNAMENT: Meeting of hostesses for Debating Tournament, L-26. 1 pm.

LIBERAL CLUB: Guest Speaker. Constitutional Conference. Compulsory, L-31. 1 pm.

PRE-MED SOCIETY: Meet the Meds. 4 medical students tell about life in Medical School, S1/3 1 pm.

CHEERLEADERETTES: 1st practice in RVC gym. 1:15 - 2:30 pm.

CYCOM: Beginners Fortran Tutorial, E-406. 3 pm.

STUDENT ZIONIST ORGANIZATION: Shraga Milstein talks about Student opportunities in Israel, L-111. 1 pm.

STUDENTS PROGRESSIVE SLATE: Platform and Candidates, Union 457. 12 noon.

CANTERBURY: Eucharist and Penitential Office, 3555 University St. 1:10 pm. - 5:30 pm.

P&O.T.'s: Splinting Demonstration by Miss A. Parker. Informal bring lunches, Beatty House. 1-2 pm.

M.O.C.: Lunch Meeting, Details of Mt. St. Anne Trip, Union B-26. 1 pm.

what's what

CZECH WEEKEND

The Film Society will present a "Czech Weekend" with special showings Friday and Saturday. The International 16 Series film on Friday is "Maelstrom", 6:30 and 9 pm in L132. Admission, \$1. The Saturday film will be "Closely Watched Trains", in the PSCA at 6:30 and 9 pm. Admission, 75 cents.

CHEERLEADERETTES

Practices for the '69 - '70 version of the cheerleaderette squad are scheduled as follows: Today, Wednesday the 26th and Friday the 28th. All take place in the RVC Gym at 1:15 pm.

INVESTMENT CLUB

Paul Chalandon speaks to the McGill Investment Club on "High Growth Potential Stocks" tomorrow at 1 pm in L230.

CENTRE FOR DEVELOPING-AREA STUDIES

The last of a series of lectures on the "Indian Planning Experience" will be given tomorrow by Dr. K.N. Raj of the University of Delhi, India. "Foreign Aid and the Politics of Planning" is the topic. L219, 4 pm.

"GEORGIAN TRAGEDY"

A meeting to discuss the recent events at Sir George Williams' will be held tomorrow from noon to 2 pm in room 457-8 of the Union.

BADMINTON

All those interested in forming a Badminton Club are asked to attend a meeting tomorrow at 8 pm in the Currie Gym. An executive committee will be formed to arrange informal matches and an intra-club tournament this term.

SPANISH DEPARTMENT

Films of Spain will be shown tomorrow evening in L219 at 8:15 pm. Admission free.

Sir George Williams Poetry Reading F.R. SCOTT

is rescheduled for SATURDAY, Feb. 22 9 PM
Women's Lounge (H-653) Hall Bldg. (Maisonnette & Bishop)

McGill Chinese Students' Society CHINA NIGHT

Chinese Dinner and Cultural Show
Saturday, Mar. 1 McGill Student Union
Tickets available at Union Box Office

Don't Visit Israel...

experience it!

Israel and Europe Tour

Program includes 5 weeks free time,
seminars, tours, and kibbutz.

Date: May 27 - Sept. 2

Cost: \$560.

For Information and Registration:

Summer in Israel

1500 St. Catherine St. W. Suite 300

Tel. 931-1804 ext. 60.

Registration on a first-come, first-serve basis

RACCOON COATS FOR ANYONE MINI? MIDI: MAXI!

Furs not only for luxury, but

practi-CALL TO 843-6314

Our hours are 11 am to 7 pm

Address: 2099 Peel St. (upstairs)



SEE EUROPE BY CAR



Wide choice of European Makes
RENAULT - CITROEN - SIMCA
VOLKSWAGEN - PEUGEOT
TAUNUS, etc.

Rent - lease - buy tax free

Unlimited Mileage - International
Permits and Insurance

SERVICE EUROPEEN DE TOURISME AUTOMOBILE

1176 Drummond St. - Tel.: 861-0200 - 861-3906

TOURING CLUB DE FRANCE: Ticket Reservations - PLANE - SHIP

861-0200

861-3906

Post-Graduate Students' Society

An Open Meeting of the Post-Graduate Students' Society

has been called for

MONDAY, FEB. 24, 1969
1 PM UNION BALLROOM

The following amendments will be submitted to the open meeting

Article III, 1

after Graduate Nurses add -
and students in the Graduate School of Business in the Faculty of Management,

Article V, 2

delete after officers.

Article V, 3d

delete entire sentence

Article VI, 2

add the following after article VIII, 1,
and the representatives to the Students' Society from the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research.

Article VIII, 2c

delete March 1 and 31 and replace with -
February 22 and March 7.

Article VIII, 2d

second sentence delete fourteen (14) and replace with -
seven (7)

Article VIII, 2h

delete and replace with -

On the day of election the polls will be open from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., unless the Chief Returning Officer otherwise provides and publishes notice to that effect in the McGill Martlet and/or McGill Daily. In the event of a change in the time that the polls remain open, prior approval of Council will be necessary. The location of the polls will be published in the McGill Martlet and/or McGill Daily.

Article XI, 4

Delete one per cent (1%) and replace with three per cent (3%)

Article XII, 1

delete entire section.

Article XIII, 3

add the following -

The president shall announce the reason for calling the meeting and only that subject (s) will be on the agenda.

Article XVII

The bar-lounge within the Graduate Centre shall not fall under the jurisdiction of the above, except for article VII, but shall be governed by the Graduate Centre Bar-Lounge By-Laws which shall be considered separate and distinct from the PGSS Constitution and shall comply with all rules and regulations set down by the Quebec Liquor Board, the City of Montreal, and the Province of Quebec.

Savoy Society presents

Gilbert & Sullivan's

RUDDIGORE

Moyse Hall
8:30 pm

Feb. 26 - Mar. 1

Tickets: \$2.
Union Box Office

Feb. 26 - 27: Two tickets for the price of one (students only)

Tripartite...

Continued from page 1

Chancellor Howard Ross, chairman of the meeting, left the room after the commission decided it would consider the issue. For him, such a discussion was "improper" and does not come under the role of the commission.

Ansom McKim, a governor, Professor F. C. MacIntosh and Professor W. A. Westley although not leaving the meeting, agreed with Ross and refused to take part in the subsequent discussion.

Westley at first said that he would discuss the manner of the proceedings against Gray but that "we cannot try Gray here".

Ticoll replied they weren't going to try him, but that they could not ignore the issues as "others have made statements, therefore, it would be totally irresponsible of us not to consider it".

Noumoff said the working of Ticoll's statement was unacceptable to him and would, instead, put forward a motion of his own. He then asked for a short recess in which to write it.

"If this issue is not carried on," said Ticoll, "the commission will be destroyed as well as any credibility it has remaining on campus".

"How much is that?" asked Ross.

"At this point", Ticoll answered, "virtually nil. We've spent fourteen months wasting time. Finally when we come up with a series of ideas, they are directly contravened by a member of the commission".

Ross repeated his threat of leaving, "You can talk all you like as long as I don't have to sit and listen".

At this point Vice-principal Michael Oliver walked in. When the meeting resumed, Oliver, agreeing with Ross, said, "The commission shouldn't take part in the current event". Then he left.

Ticoll's motion was voted down. Noumoff's was passed both times, the second time after Westley asked for reconsideration of it.

One and a half hours after the commission's recommending that the Principal reconsider, Robertson laid charges against Gray of willful disruption of university business.

Council comes out against violence

After prolonged debate and much haggling over the semantics of the issue a motion was passed at the Students' Council meeting last night urging students "to attempt to use all existing constitutional channels in seeking to bring about changes in the university."

A petition, presented by David Levine (engineering), and signed by close to 4000 members of the Students' Society, called on Council to condemn students at Sir

George who participated in the destruction of university property."

After much debate centered on toning down the text of the petitions, the final motion passed read:

"Whereas any university belongs to its students, staff and to the people who support it, and to destroy one's own university is self-defeating:

Be it therefore resolved that while reiterating its position that the Sir George Administration badly mishandled the whole Anderson affair and recognizing that the actions of the students arose out of desperation over the administration's unwillingness to realize the gravity of the charges placed before it;

The Students' Society nevertheless deplores the excessive violence on the part of the students who participated in the occupation of the Hall Building.

Further the Students' Society urges students to attempt to use the existing constitutional channels in seeking to bring about changes in the university."

The amendment citing the circumstances of the Sir George debacle added to the original motion were moved by Alissa Glazer (P and OT) and Spector (Arts Science). It took two hours to pass (P and OT) and Norman Spector (Arts Science). It took two hours to pass the motion.

SENATE MEETING

By decision of Senate henceforth the size of the gallery will be limited and the proceedings will be shown on closed-circuit TV. For the meeting on Wednesday, February 19th, 65 tickets will be available and they will be given out on a first-come-first-served basis to students and staff commencing at 10:00 am in the Registrar's Office. Staff tickets will no longer be available from Deans' Offices.

The meeting on February 19th will be shown on TV in Room C104 of the Macdonald Chemistry Building. No tickets are required for the TV viewing room.

C. M. McDougall
Registrar

The following subamendments to the constitutional amendments published in the**Moved that Article VII, Section 2, sub-section - f, be amended to read:**

1) "One representative from each of the following groups. If the students in the group comprise more than six hundred (600) students another seat on council will be given for each six hundred (600) beyond the first six hundred (600). If the number of students is not a multiple of six hundred (600) an extra seat will be given only if the remainder is three hundred and one (301) or more.

- I - the students in the Faculty of Arts and Science.
- II - the members of the Students' Society in the Faculty of Education.
- III - the members of the Students' Society in the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, the Graduate School of Business and the School for Graduate Nurses proceeding to the degree of B. N.
- IV - the students in the School of Architecture.
- V - the students in the School of Commerce.
- VI - the students in the Faculty of Dentistry.
- VII - the students in the Faculty of Engineering.
- VIII - the students in the Faculty of Law.
- IX - the students in the Faculty of Medicine.
- X - the students in the Faculty of Music.
- XI - the students in the School for Graduate Nurses proceeding to the degree of B. Sc. (N).
- XII - the students in the School of Physical and Occupational Therapy.
- XIII - the students in the Faculty of Divinity.

Each year, the Speaker shall determine from official registration figures the number of Students' Council representatives corresponding to each category (I) to (XIII) above. Notwithstanding possible fluctuations in enrollment, these allocations shall stand until superseded by a similar determination made the succeeding year."

Submitted by
Sydney Portner, B. Sc. 3
Ruby Ross, B. A. 2

Moved that the proposed amendments to the Students' Society Constitution be subamended by deleting the sections indicated and replacing them as follows:**ARTICLE VII - Students' Council**

- 2) Students Council shall be composed of:
 - a) The President of the Students' Society.
 - b) The Vice-President (Internal Affairs) of the Students' Society.
 - c) The Vice-President (University Affairs) of the Students' Society.
 - d) The Vice-President (External Affairs) of the Students' Society.
 - e) The Editor-in-Chief of the McGill Daily who shall be a participating but non-voting member.
 - f) One representative for every seven hundred fifty (750) students or part thereof from each of the following:

- I - The students in the Faculty of Arts and Science
- II - the members of the Students' Society in the Faculty of Education.
- III - the members of the Students' Society in the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, the Graduate School of Business and the School for Graduate Nurses proceeding to the degree of B. N.
- IV - The students in the School of Architecture.
- V - The students in the School of Commerce.

Constitutional Subamendments

Daily last week, will be considered along with the amendments at an OPEN MEETING

- VI - The students in the Faculty of Dentistry.
- VII - The students in the Faculty of Engineering.
- VIII - The students in the Faculty of Law.
- IX - The students in the Faculty of Medicine.
- X - The students in the Faculty of Music.
- XI - The students in the School for Graduate Nurses proceeding to the degree of B. Sc. (N).
- XII - The students in the School of Physical and Occupational Therapy.
- XIII - The students in the Faculty of Divinity.

ARTICLE XII - Election of Students' Council Representatives.

1 - Students' Council representatives from the constituencies under Article VII 2-f shall be elected by their respective constituencies between November 20 and December 10 of each year.

2 - Any student in the constituency shall be eligible for election. Nomination papers must be signed by 25 students of the constituency or 25% of the membership of the constituency, whichever is less. Such papers must be in the hands of the Secretary-Treasurer of the Students' Society at least 10 days before the date of the election and published by him in the McGill Daily at least one week before the date of the election. Voting shall be by ballot.

3 - Such elections shall be conducted by the Chief Returning Officer of the Students' Society in accordance with the Electoral By-Laws of the Students' Society.

4 - All representatives to Students' Council shall serve for the calendar year following their election. In the event of impeachment or resignation, a successor shall be elected using procedures similar to those specified above for the regular election. The successor shall hold office for the remainder of the calendar year.

Submitted by:
George Radwanski, BCL I
Norman Spector, BA3

Moved that the amendments to the Constitution of the Students' Society of McGill University be sub-amended as follows:**ARTICLE 5 School and Faculty Societies**

1 - School and Faculty societies shall be self-governing organs responsible to their respective members for promoting their educational, cultural and general interests in areas within their jurisdiction.

2 - unchanged

3 - The School and Faculty Societies' jurisdiction shall comprise those areas which concern only their members. In matters which concern members of more than one School or Faculty Society, the Students' Society shall have the prerogative of dealing with the matter or co-ordinating the work of the School and Faculty Societies.

4 - delete

5 - delete

ARTICLE 6 Fees

1 - "...shall pay an annual fee of \$29 to the Students' Society..." and "...degree of B. N. who shall pay an annual fee of \$15."

2 - "...shall pay an annual fee of \$15 to the Students' Society except partial students taking less than three courses who shall pay an annual fee of \$10."

3-4-5 - unchanged

ARTICLE 7 Students' Council

1 to 8 - unchanged

9 - delete

ARTICLE 12 Determination of Students' Council Representatives**of the Students' Society on Wednesday, Feb. 26.**

1 - Students' Council representatives shall be determined in the following way: (Section 2-a, b, c to follow)

ARTICLE 13 Impeachment

1 - unchanged
2 - Students' Council representatives may be impeached as follows: A meeting of members of such a constituency... (continues as in original).

Submitted by
Sam Boskey, BA 3
Leo Bevkowich

Delete Article VII (3) and replace with the following:

Meetings shall be on such days and at such hours as the President shall deem fit. The Secretary-Treasurer shall give the members individual notice at least forty-eight hours before each meeting. Two thirds of those students who are voting members of Council shall constitute a quorum. A meeting shall be called by the Secretary-Treasurer upon receipt by him of a written request signed by a majority of the voting members of Council. Council may delegate its authority to a Summer Committee to take temporary decisions, subject to later ratification by the full Students' Council.

Submitted by:
Robert Hajaly,
Pres., Students' Society
Chris Hoffmann,
Internal V-P., Students' Society,
Ian Hyman,
External V-P., Students' Society,
Paul Wong,
Pres., ASUS,
Ken Wayne,
Pres., PGSS,

ARTICLE V(5) shall be renumbered V(6).

ARTICLE XI (6) shall read as follows:

No publication published by a School or Faculty Society with that Society's funds shall express or imply any but a neutral attitude in discussing any election, conducted by the chief returning officer of the Students' Society.

ARTICLE XI(6) shall become ARTICLE XI(7).

Delete Article VII (5).

Moved that in Article IX, Section (1) the composition of the Judicial Board selection committee be amended as follows:

After the words "the President of the Students' Society", the following words shall be added: "one person elected by the students in their final year proceeding to a B. C. L. degree and one member of Students' Council named by Students' Council".

After the words "knowledge of student affairs" the following shall be added: "However, no member of the above-named selection committee shall be eligible for appointment".

Submitted by
Robert Hajaly
Chris Hoffmann
Ian Hyman

The R & W Revue

In view of the embarrassing performance of Locke Robertson and Harry Woods in the public Gray hearings, the inadequacy of their case, and the open opposition to their actions from faculty and students, one would have thought that this rather feckless dynamic duo would reconsider their attempt to fire Stanley Gray. Unfortunately, it seems that Robertson and his friends are hell-bent on confrontation. They want blood, and they won't stop until they get it.

Those who observed the painful proceedings can testify that, whatever the merits of the case, Robertson and Woods have shown themselves incapable of representing and substantiating it, or of defending their Administration's record against Gray's critique and accusations. When circumstance compelled them to provide some semblance of legitimacy for McGill's governing bodies and their actions, their arguments simply had no credibility. Asked, for instance, to explain his opposition to "basic democratization", Robertson responded only that he thinks that those affected by University decision-making should not control it.

It is pitiful to see the Principal and the Dean of the largest faculty raked over the coals in public by the man they are trying to fire. This is a sad comment on the even sadder condition of the University and the men who run it, and itself adds substantial weight to Gray's position.

Woods finally admitted Monday (though Robertson still refused to qualify his respect of the South African legislature's legality) that "disruptions" are in certain circumstances justified. He said in effect that justification depends on what the University is doing and what the issues are.

It is clear in this perspective that the justification of the "disruptions" stands or falls on the particular issues involved. Yet neither Administration spokesman would agree to discuss with Gray the legitimacy of what the University is in fact doing.

If disruptive protest occurred because of, say, a racist University policy, says Woods, then no charges could be pressed. "Disruption" in itself, by his own admission, cannot create a case for discipline. In effect, they make a charge of unjustifiable disruption, and then refuse to discuss whether or not the supposed disruption was justified.

Robertson denies that he is accountable to his constituency, in action as well as thought. Formal protest to his proceedings has come from all sectors of the University (except the Administration): the Political Science Association, Students' Council, the Political Science department, and even the Administration's much-revered Tripartite Commission.

"I intend to proceed, willy-nilly," declares the good

Principal. Gray, on the other hand, has consistently maintained that the issue should be decided by those concerned, and that he is prepared to speak to, defend himself before, and be judged by all members of the University.

Predictably, the Administration is not prepared to do this. They insist on closed sessions with only "disinterested persons" from outside the university. No one at McGill is to have a say in the matter (except, of course, for Robertson and Woods, who define the charges and choose the procedure to be used). No one is even allowed to see what goes on.

At the end of Monday morning's session, when it became clear that the administrators would accept no "settlement" short of complete capitulation by the accused, Gray pointed out that CAUT procedures were not satisfactory without modifications. Robertson promised that if charges were to be pressed, another meeting would be held to decide on the procedure to be followed.

This promise has been broken; Gray has been offered no alternative to a procedure unilaterally invoked by Robertson, a procedure to be found nowhere in the Statutes, never used before at McGill, and not even accepted by the McGill Association of University Teachers.

It so happens that the CAUT code has major deficiencies. It involves secret meetings, and it denies representation of the sectors of the university which are directly concerned with the case. In particular, there is no student participation.

Robertson is attempting to ram through precedent-setting dismissal procedures, knowing full well that the whole question is to be discussed and decided in Senate within the next few weeks. He knows that by next week Students' Society representatives could hold deciding votes in this case. He knows how they will vote — and he doesn't want that.

So he's given Gray one week to accept his procedure. Should Gray not commit himself to CAUT arbitration, it will go straight to the Board. Indications are that that august body will show little mercy.

The whole case is making a mockery of McGill University, its reputation, and its unfortunate Principal. The greatest service Locke Robertson could perform for McGill is to withdraw his charges and initiate discussion and action on the substantive issues which have been systematically avoided for months and years.

Robertson is jeopardizing far more than his own position by his reckless and arbitrary actions. If he finds it impossible to withdraw while there is still time, his only alternative is to submit his resignation.

Peter FOSTER
Mark WILSON

Disrup

A funny thing happened at the Stan Gray hearings. The hearings, called as the first step in the Administration's procedure to fire Gray, became instead a debate on the questions surrounding Gray's alleged misdeeds, which turned out to be some of the basic questions facing the University — democratization, the use of extrajudicial legal tactics, the responsiveness of the University to the needs of its constituents, and so forth. Gray and his adviser John Fekete were on one side and Locke Robertson and Harry Woods were on the other. The following is a summary of some of the arguments as they developed:

1) POLITICAL ISSUES

Gray and Fekete attempted at all times to discuss substantive issues over which the disruptions had occurred. They maintained these 'disruptions' cannot be seen simply as physical actions, taken out of their concrete political context. Both Woods and Robertson refused these requests.

2) ARGUMENTS OVER DISRUPTION:

A) Gray maintained that to disrupt, to work outside the official channels of the university's power hierarchy could be justified on a number of grounds — for example, the grounds that university bodies are not democratically constituted. Arguments about due process and respect for the 'proper' channels only hold if a democratic process is involved isn't the case with either the Board of Governors or the Senate. He used the example of the government of South Africa and asked Robertson and Woods if a person would necessarily be bound to respect its governing bodies. Robertson replied that "... no legally constituted body should be disrupted". Woods stated later on that disruptions were, in some cases, justified.

B) Gray said he could respect the due processes of a democratically constituted body, and one that acted in the interests of its constituents, but he did not see why he should respect McGill's governing bodies, which are undemocratic and do not act in the interests of their constituents. No reply was given him on this point.

At Monday's session, Gray modified and extended his argument. He said that even if an institution were undemocratic, one could still be bound to respect its official channels if its policies and actions were beneficial to its constituents; enlightened despotisms were theoretically possible and perhaps McGill was one of these.

Therefore, he was prepared to drop the democratization clause in the agreement statement (that he was supposed to sign) if McGill were to act in the interests of its students and staff and the people of Quebec. On the other hand, it was possible that an institution could be democratic and he might still think it was acting wrongly, and he would be prepared not to disrupt if this were true; in his opinion the wishes of a majority must be respected, even if it is wrong. He said, however, that he did not believe McGill met either of these two criteria, and he was prepared to argue this.

Thus, his proposal stated that he would not disrupt if McGill's governing bodies began to discuss the critical issues, and either democratized themselves or began to act in the interests of their internal and external constituencies.

LETTERS

Stand of solidarity

Sir,

I would like to take this opportunity to express my stand of total and absolute solidarity with Stanley Gray in his struggle to retain his job at McGill University.

It is necessary to read beneath the rarefied concepts of academic propriety and "due process", the reactionary and oppressive social goals pursued by the McGill Administration. Mr. Gray's actions throw light on the real functions of the University in a capitalist society, namely, that of guaranteeing and perpetuating the cultural hegemony of the ruling class by manufacturing an image of man and the world amenable to their own anti-democratic and anti-social ends.

We have watched — some from Canada and some from within "the guts of the Ogre" — the liberal institutions of America become accomplices, both actively and passively, in the ghastly labor of worldwide repression and domestic exploitation.

We recognize that this is not a peculiarity of American liberalism. On the contrary, we see this good-natured liberal pluralism as the ideological expression of the highest entity in the capitalist economy — the Corporation. It is, to quote Marx, "its consolation and justification, its spiritual point of honor".

One must denounce as false, reactionary, and psychopathological the attempt to divorce thought from action. I would further like to note that there is an attempt to impose this bifurcation of thought and action only on leftists, and not on liberals and conservatives.

Bernard Flynn, Ph. D.
Assistant Professor
Philosophy Dept.
Loyola College

Did Gray abrogate the democratic process?

Sir,

If your report of February 14 is accurate, Stanley Gray did not deny disrupting three university meetings. He even claimed the right to continue such disruption unless the university models itself on his particular definition of its role. That is, Mr. Gray demands that the Organization which pays his salary should sanction purposeful and

repeated disruption of its activities by him.

Of course the Board of Governors needs change. Of course the Senate needs change. But democratization of these bodies is not the issue in the Gray case. The issue is

Continued on page 7

MCGILL DAILY

The McGill Daily is published five times a week by the Students' Society of McGill University at 3480 McTavish Street, telephone 875-5512. Authorized as second class mail by the Post Office Department, Ottawa, and for payment of postage paid at Montreal. Editor's opinions expressed are those of the editors and not the official opinion of the Students' Council.

Printed at l'Imprimerie Dumont Inc. Local 41, Union des Pressiers de journaux.

Mark Starowicz.....Editor-in-chief
John Dufort.....Advertising manager

tion, politics, and McGill

Robertson refused to accept this proposal, but gave no reasons why. Woods also refused to give a direct reply, but rather gave a long and detailed defense of Senate's procedures, which he read from a prepared text. John Fekete gave an equally long and detailed rebuttal.

C) Perhaps the most significant exchange took place towards the end of Monday's session when the political nature of disruptions was discussed. Woods had previously said that he supported certain 'disruptions', the sit-ins of the American Civil Rights Movement, for example. Thus, it followed, Gray said, that it is nonsensical to proclaim oneself against all disruptions, irrespective of conditions: one couldn't come out against disruptions at McGill per se without first considering what kind of university McGill is and how it is constituted.

The status quo is not self-justifying — reasons must be given to support it. Everyone might agree, for example, that one has no inherent duty to respect a university that was racist. Just because McGill exists one isn't bound to respect its functioning. Woods agreed with Gray — he said that certain circumstances would justify a disruption of McGill's official bodies, and he agreed that a racist university which enacted its policies in an authoritarian manner was a good example of a situation which would justify disruption.

This, Gray said, justified his whole case. If disruptions could not be condemned in themselves, then they could only be seen in the light of the issues over which they occurred. Robertson's and Woods's case against Gray was based solely on political differences. Woods disagreed with him politically, Gray continued thus he disagreed that the present situation warranted disruption; however, if he did not object to Gray's politics, then he would not object to his acts of disruption.

It all boiled down to a difference of political ideas, and Harry Woods and Locke Robertson wanted to throw Gray out because they couldn't agree with his program for reform. Woods did not reply.

Gray concluded, on the basis of his previous statement, that the proceedings should be stopped, as the charge had been disruption, and not the substantive reasons for disruption. Because his accusers had agreed that disruptions in themselves are no cause for condemnation, no case, in fact, existed for his dismissal. They must, to be consistent, either charge him for his political views, or not charge him at all.

3) ARGUMENTS ON DUE PROCESS

A) Gray and Fekete argued that the official procedures of Senate and the Board were inadequate, both for discussing important issues, such as Quebec education and student housing, and for taking actions that reflected the needs of those who were affected by the actions: thus, extra-official action was in order. Neither Woods nor Robertson would answer this at the first hearing. For the second, Woods prepared a defense of Senate. He cited statistics that were designed to show that many, though not a majority, of the student senators' motions had been accepted and most of the others were now in the process of being discussed, or were to be discussed soon. He concluded that there was no cause for the disruption of Senate.

Fekete countered by pointing out that whatever progress was made on Senate was to the credit of the student senators, who were fighting against factors of rejection, delay, and obfuscation. He said the University's governing bodies, the proper channels, did not deal with important issues adequately. A wide variety of issues on the critical university and Quebec, ranging from a unicameral governing system to the abolition or transformation of certain Institutes, to the establishment of a Functional French program were not on Senate's agenda, and were neither being discussed or acted upon. Other issues had been placed on the agenda but were being delayed or defeated.

He added that the Board of Governors, in spite of Students' Society requests that began in June, 1968, remains totally undemocratic and monolithic in composition. Fekete contended that constitutional disruption plagues the University's governing bodies, and he closed by saying that this kind of disruption is a far more dangerous threat to the proper functioning of McGill than extra-legal interventions of the kind which Gray had taken part in.

B) Woods's arguments that Gray should have gone through the proper channels were, in Gray's opinion, inconsistent with his actions. For it was Woods who had initiated firing procedures without consulting or even informing Gray's department, or department Chairman, and in fact, Gray's department, his students (the Political Science Association), and Students' Council had all expressed strong opposition to the move. He was himself ignoring the proper channels on this occasion. Woods maintained that this was his right.

C) The disruptions that have occurred over the last two years at McGill have benefitted the University, Gray said, citing both Robertson and Michael Oliver to this effect. In particular, he quoted from Robertson's opening address to freshmen this year, where he said: "There is no doubt in my mind that out of the furore of the day there will arise a lot of good. I believe that the push that students have given their elders will result in good things happening that would, under unchanged circumstances, have been slow to come about". He predicted that a year hence, Woods and Robertson would probably be boasting about reforms which Gray and the radical movement had initiated, in a hearing of someone else they were trying to throw out of the University.

D) Gray and Fekete also argued that the disruptions that Gray had participated in were of a less serious nature than those that the Senate and the Board had caused in their consistent refusal to handle issues of relevance in the university.

They said further that two of the three meetings that Gray had supposedly disrupted were open meetings that he was entitled to attend, and that the third he had left when requested to. They argued that his actions were insignificant when placed against the enormous failures of the official bodies of the university.

E) Gray said it was more important for the University's official bodies to conduct themselves in a way that was beneficial to their constituencies, than that the "University's business", as Robertson defined it, keep going. Thus, the charge of disrupting Senate's business was meaningless in itself.

4) THE SELECTIVITY OF THE CHARGE

A) Fekete asked the accusers why they had singled out Gray from among the two hundred persons who had participated in the actions that he was being charged for. Robertson replied that the matter of Gray was the "highest priority".

B) Gray asked why these particular 'disruptions' were a basis for a charge, rather than, for example, the SDU occupation of last year, or this year's PSA strike and occupation — both of which would fall under the accusers' definition of disrupting the University's business. Although Woods felt that these actions also constituted disruptions, he did not say why no action is or was taken on them.

5) THE PURPOSE OF THE DISMISSAL ACTION

Robertson and Woods were asked if these charges were an attempt to attack the radical movement as a whole. If this was the case, it was a false solution to McGill's problems. The protests wouldn't stop, and McGill wouldn't become a 'nice' university because Gray was thrown out. Robertson and Woods replied that the University's business must be carried out.



ROBERTSON

WOODS

No legally constituted governing body should be disrupted

Certain circumstances would justify a disruption of McGill's official bodies

6) THE "DISRUPTIONS" THEMSELVES

A) Woods said that forceful acts could not be tolerated at the university. Gray replied that violence had not occurred at any of the three actions, that neither he nor anyone else had advocated either personal violence or destruction of property. In fact, he claimed, the only violence that had ever taken place at McGill had occurred last November, when the Administration had called the police onto campus, where they arrested Gray, hitting him and others in the process.

B) Gray and Fekete maintained that the three incidents Gray was charged for were not very serious actions and did not merit dismissal. He had not acted as a spokesman at any of the meetings. At one of the meetings, he was not even involved, although he supported the actions of those who participated in the disruption. At the one closed meeting, he left when he was requested to leave, and the meeting itself later asked Senate that it be open in the future (Senate refused to open it). None of these acts, Gray and Fekete said, constituted "gross misconduct", the cause for dismissal cited in the CAUT code.

The administrators replied that these were points to be decided by the future tribunal.

C) Gray stated that the charges — impeding the functioning of the University or its bodies or its committees or its officials — were much too general and that anyone could be thus dismissed for the most trivial acts — for example, talking to the Dean for eight hours and thus preventing him from doing his office work. Under their definition, the Political Science faculty should all be charged, as they had cancelled classes after the PSA had passed a strike motion.

7) FUTURE PROCEDURES

Woods maintained throughout that an impartial tribunal from outside the university, as in the CAUT code, would be the only fair judge of the case. Gray maintained that the case should be decided by members of the university community itself, since the issues concerned them, and since the question of hiring and firing of staff should be decided by the students and staff of the University. Furthermore, they did not believe that closed hearings were appropriate in this case.

The CAUT code is not part of the statutes of McGill, as was pointed out during the hearings by English Department Chairman Theall. Robertson was asked whether in using the CAUT code he would be waiving the statutes of McGill, which have a different procedure and definition of permissible charges. He said this would be discussed later. It was also mentioned that even the MAUT does not accept the CAUT code as it now stands, and before Gray would accept it, some modifications would have to be made.

At the closing of Thursday's hearing, Robertson was asked to hold a further open or televised meeting, to discuss the nature of future arbitration proceedings. Gray has to agree with the procedure, even under the existing code; thus Gray and Fekete said that the Administration shouldn't unilaterally decide what the only possible arbitration procedure would be. Robertson said that a meeting would probably be held to discuss this issue, but that he considered it "highly improper" for it to be either open or televised.



GRAY

FEKETE

Disruptions can only be seen in the light of the issues over which they occur

Constitutional disruption plagues the University's governing bodies

CLASSIFIED

These ads may be placed in the advertising office at the University Centre from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m. Ads received by noon appear the following day. Rates: 3 consecutive insertions — \$1.50; maximum 20 words. 7c per extra word.

FOR SALE

FISH NETS FINALLY ARRIVED: The Purple Unknown, 2145 Bleury.

LARGE "STANDARD" ski boots, size 8½ medium, excellent condition — must sell. Call 482-9095, 5-7 pm or after 10 pm.

STEREO COMPONENT SYSTEMS. Lower than any sale prices in Canada. Call 849-0344 and ask for Breck or leave your number.

HAGSTROM ELECTRIC GUITAR, new — \$140. Regal Amplifier, 35 watts, \$50. Sony Taperecorder model TC200, 1 year old, hardly used — \$140; call 937-8457.

PHANTASMAGORIA IS LOVE is Phantasmagoria is peace is Phantasmagoria is Tim Buckley is Phantasmagoria — 3472 Park (near Milton). Records at rock bottom prices.

CLASSICAL GUITAR, good condition. \$25. 931-7403.

BADGES, Bumperstickers, rubber stamps made to order. Write Box 813, Place d'Armes, Montreal.

ZARZX SPEAKERS, 1 AR 120-watt amplifier, Shure M75E cartridge with needle. Garrard AT60 turntable, almost new, \$425. 288-1012.

HOUSING

SUBLET MAY 1 to early September. Very large 4½ room apartment. Furnished, dishwasher, etc. \$225. — corner Mountain & McGreggor. 288-0849.

CLEAN, BRIGHT single room for student, male or female. Kitchen facilities available. 4163 Esplanade corner Rachel. Call anytime 844-6864.

GIRL WANTED to share 3½ apartment with same for 2 months, no lease, March (or before) \$60. Evenings — 738-9151.

SUBLET — MAY TO SEPTEMBER — 3½ room furnished apt. \$120. Corner Pine & Durocher. Call after 5 pm — 288-5712.

SUBLET MAY — Sept. Furnished, fully equipped 2½ in modern high rise downtown. \$165. monthly — 845-3587.

STUDENT APARTMENT 3 minutes from McGill has quiet room vacant. Modern kitchen, kitchenette, bath, shower, storage, locker. \$15. weekly. 849-2656 evenings.

NEED A STUDY ROOM? Furnished room with phone to sublet during March. Kitchen, bar, on campus. Reasonable 843-4366 after 7:30 pm.

LARGE 4½ apartment to sublet. May-Sept. Furnished. \$170. Prince Arthur and Hutchison. Call after 6 pm, 845-6098.

STUDENT WISHES to share 5½ room apartment furnished, May to Sept. near Durocher and Pine — very reasonable; phone Richard in evening 845-8553.

LOST

SAWYERS 600A slide projector, serial no. 600A 4999 in PSC on Feb. 13. Please return to porter, PSC.

THURSDAY EVENING LATE — white fur hat. Former owner is freezing. Phone 738-9738.

MISCELLANEOUS

FOLK MUSIC SOCIETY: Important meeting: elections, special guest artists, informal jam session. Thursday, Feb. 20, 8 pm, B-26-27.

WALDEN: Jonathan Randall, Eric Slone, Dana Westover, Beverly Glenn, 194 St. Paul Saturday afternoon at 2 pm. An ultimate group.

TURN ON at Sigma Chi Carnival Bash with Oliver Court Delivery; Wednesday, Feb. 19: 3458 Peel. Guys \$1. Girls free.

RUDDIGORE IS twenty-eight professional bridesmaids in search of a wedding. Feb. 26 — Mar. 1: Moyses Hall: 8:30 pm. Tickets \$2.00. Union Box Office.

LEARN TO FLY. Trial lesson only \$6. As a career or for business and pleasure. Private or commercial pilot training. Call 733-6634 from 9-11 am.

GIRLS — Be a flagbearer in the Redmen Marching Band! Tryouts start Tuesday, Feb. 25, RVC Gym, 5 pm. Bring your friends.

OPEN HOUSE: Kick off Carnival with the Power of Beckett: 9 pm, Wednesday Feb. 19. AD House, 3483 Stanley. Guys \$1. Women free.

MOC Ski Trip to Mont Sutton Fri. Feb. 21. \$5.50. Tickets at Union. 7:30 am Roddick Gates. Rick: 721-6874.

COCKTAIL PARTY before Carnival Hockey Game on Thursday Feb. 20 between 5-7 pm. Deke Fraternity, 3653 University. Proceeds to March of Dimes.

SEND A TEENYBOPPER TO WASHINGTON. MCS Senior Auction. Ides of March (That's the 15th — meathead!) Phone Ann 753-7542.

DON'T SMASH COMPUTERS — come to a fraternity smash at 3581 University St. Thurs. Feb. 20, 8 pm to infinity, with the Power of Beckett. Girls free, guys \$1.

DEAR WIFE: Fourteen days is a long time. I'm not calling Wednesday because if you're not there it will be a real bad trip for me. Call me if you want to talk. Love and Misses Bamburi.

DEAR LOST WIFE: Add up the above ad and pay me back in two weeks — oh wow. Love Tiala Bafuri.

CARNIVAL — ANYONE wishing to work for Monte Carlo Night. Please report to room 467 of the Union at 1 pm today.

OPEN HOUSE: Zete Milk Punch Party, Sunday Feb. 23 at 2 pm. Everyone welcome, 3637 University.

BETHUNE — Award-winning film on life of Canadian Dr. Bethune who served with Loyalists in Spanish Civil War and Chinese in Sino-Japanese War. 8:15 pm Sunday, Feb. 23. Augustana House, 3483 Peel.

ANOTHER OPEN HOUSE. TEP Fraternity, 525 Prince Arthur, Saturday Feb. 22, 8 pm. Featuring The Mynd, free admission.

RIDES

CARS AVAILABLE: Toronto, western Canada, Maritimes and Florida. No charge, current license. Age 21 or over. Cal., Montreal Drive-Away Service Ltd., 4018 St. Catherine St. W. Montreal 937-2816. Call anytime.

RIDE FOR TWO wanted to New York Feb. 28 or March 1 — will share expenses. Call after 5 pm — 288-5712.

TO BOSTON, Feb. 20 or 21 — Round trip if possible. Will share expenses. Call Ginny, 931-5394 or leave message.

TUTORING

MATH TUTORING: Calculus and any other high school or college level math course. Isaac Re-9-0642. CR-9-9591. Reasonable rates.

TYPING

MANN'S TYPING SERVICE: Theses, term papers, manuscripts etc. Accurate and reliable. Phone 933-7411. Manuel Bernhaut.

TYPING SERVICE. 481-2512. From 25 cents per page. Fast accurate. Theses; term papers; essays; stencils; letters; reports; manuscripts; notes.

WANTED

TWO PUPPIES promising large dogs wanted for happy home in the country. Only mixed breeds need apply. (i.e. little money, much love) Phone 935-3828 after 6.

ITALIAN LADY seeks English-speaking female student to improve English. Own room. Call 323-6265 after 6 pm.

Phi Kappa Pi "Open House"

SIMPLE SIMON & THE PIEMEN

Thursday, Feb. 20	8:30 pm
Saturday, Feb. 22	8:00 pm
THE URGE	
<i>y'all welcome</i>	
3647 University	(Red door)

McGill Liberal Club

presents

a symposium on the

Constitutional Conference

with a guest panel

Wednesday 1 pm Leacock-31

McGill Student Physics Society

Presents

DR. ERICH VOGT

Canadian Association of Physicists Lecturer
Professor at U.B.C.

"Meson Factories, the Next Generation in Nuclear Physics"
Wed. Feb. 19 L219 1:00 p.m.

DR. S. K. MARK

FROM FOSTER RADIATION LABORATORIES

"Nuclear Structure Research with
the McGill Cyclotron"

Thurs. Feb. 20 L219 1:00 p.m.

PGSS

Executive Elections

Nominations are called for the positions of

- PGSS President
- External Vice-President
- Internal Vice-President
- Secretary
- Treasurer

Nomination papers must be submitted

to the PGSS CRO

by 5 pm, Feb. 26.

Nomination papers for President must be signed by fifty (50) nominators, for all other positions by twenty-five (25) nominators, and countersigned by the candidate, indicating year of study. All nomination papers must contain only the following statement: "We, the undersigned Post-Graduate Students' Society members nominate ----- for the position of -----". Additional information from each candidate to be submitted with nomination paper must include department, home address, McGill and/or home phone number, if any.

Nomination papers must be deposited in the PGSS box at the University Centre Switchboard, addressed to Charlene Budd, PGSS Chief Returning Officer.

ELECTIONS WILL BE HELD

MARCH 5, 1969



SUNDAY FEBRUARY 23 (ON CFQR FM 92.5 MHZ) 10PM.

Radio McGill returns again with another two hours of News, documentaries, discussions, pithy comments, rock, folk, and jazz.

Bryant's Bag: the music of Morton Feldman—American manufacturer and composer.

Uncensored Version: The third in a series of programs dealing with the contemporary high school problem. The fight and confrontation for high school reform.

RATINGS SHOW THAT WE HAVE 45.8% OF ALL SUNDAY F.M. LISTENERS AT 10:00 PM

Paternalism in the med faculty

Upon entering medical school this year, the first-year class was presented with a seemingly ideal situation. We were assured by the faculty that the feelings and ideas of the students were important and that they were eager to receive their views in the form of student feedback. A number of students who sensed the need for basic changes in the system of medical education and in the medical profession as a whole were advised by members of faculty that by working through normal channels, all "reasonable" requests would be carefully considered. In fact, the Associate Dean (Medical Education), Dr. R. Neil MacDonald, is making an attempt to interview all members of the first-year class in order to solicit their views and feelings. We now have reason to doubt the sincerity of this seemingly liberal attitude.

Histology, an obligatory major subject of the first-year curriculum, is presented in the first half of the academic year, though traditionally the exam is not given until the end of the year in June. For a number of valid reasons, many students felt that it would be to their advantage to write the exam in February, at the termination of the course. On the initiative of the curricu-

lum committee and with the full backing of the Department of Anatomy (responsible for the histology course), a vote of the class was taken in November and the suggestion of the February exam was defeated. The democracy of the proceedings was somewhat questionable, since a section of the course, albeit small, was not even notified. Also, before the actual vote was taken a histology professor enumerated the arguments in favor of holding the exam in June as had always been done. At no time was the alternative point of view presented.

Because the true feelings of the class were still in doubt a number of students, wishing to initiate a dialogue, started a petition to re-assess class opinion on the subject. Not only were all the relevant points brought out in personal discussions between supporters and the rest of the class, but also, for the first time the pros and cons were clearly enumerated on a bulletin board accessible to all students. As a result, a majority of students, 70 out of 135 signed the petition in favor of an earlier exam. It should be made clear at this point that the Department of Anatomy publicly stated that, as far as they were concerned, they were prepared to give the

exam at any time the students wished, providing the Associate Dean concurred.

The petition was presented to Associate Dean MacDonald, who said that he would make the decision after consulting with the department chairman and the first-year student executive. The petitioners pointed out that since the petition itself embodied class opinion, and therefore, was in the spirit of true participatory democracy, it was unnecessary to consult the class executive. Nevertheless, they were willing to place the matter in the hands of Dr. MacDonald and to await the decision.

Monday the illusion of good will was shattered. The proposal agreed to by the majority was rejected by the administration. Dr. MacDonald, addressing the first-year class in answer to the petition, reiterated and re-emphasized the arguments supporting a June exam — which, by virtue of the petition, had been rejected by the majority. In addition, he regretted the dissension caused by this question, suggesting that unity of opinion would be more conducive to good intra-professional relations in clinical training and later practice. At this point, he notified the class that the rules governing exams had been de-

cided in September, and perhaps next year something could be done. All along, the students had been under the impression that their views would be duly considered; but Dr. MacDonald made it quite clear that the ground rules that had been established long before by tradition were irrevocable. His argument was that the exam had to be held in June since at least some students were expecting it in June; however, since the question had never come up before the students raised it last November, the decision was in fact not considered final — not until Monday.

It is clear that the administration feels that it is solely responsible for the establishment of ground rules. In essence, it appears that the administration subscribes to the doctrine of paternalism in its relations with students. In other words, they alone know what is best for us. This attitude is reflected as well in the manner in which the decision-making process of the Faculty operates. As of now, students have no decisive role even in faculty decisions affecting their curriculum... In fact, the mechanics of decision-making are obscure, thus making it all the more difficult for changes to be im-

plemented.

Ironically enough, the major obstacle to democratization of the Medical Faculty is the student body itself. In a faculty where any student can be expelled without appeal and where the eligibility for prime hospital positions after graduation is dependent on the good will of senior members of the profession, students are reluctant to jeopardize their future by standing up for what they believe.

M. ADRIAN

Murray BARON

James Michael BELL

A. COATES

C. CRESSEY

Gabriel GLUCK

Barry GOLDBERG

Marvin HUBERMAN

Francis JACOT

Lillian JUKIER

P. NICHOLLS

Roland PROCTER

Robert REMIS

R. ROBSON

L. ROSOFF

Irwin SEGAL

C. WILSON

LETTERS

Continued from page 4

whether any self-appointed saviour has the right, by his own fiat, to abrogate the democratic process itself.

Democratic process at McGill, must be defended equally against arbitrary use of authority and against disruption by self-appointed messiahs. Ample free speech is available to Gray and his disciples to persuade the "university community" of the rightness of their cause. If they use democratic methods to seek greater democratization and greater involvement of McGill with Quebec, they will find many allies within McGill. But if they use the tactics of mini-fascism — the noisy disruption of meetings, the arrogant occupation of premises needed by their fellows — then they must be vigorously opposed.

Your editorials seek to legitimize anti-democratic tactics by creating a demonology as false as that of the John Birch Society or the National Enquirer. You accuse the Sir George Williams Administration (Feb. 12) of "the most incredible piece of brutal cynicism..." Where were you during the 13 days in which they resisted calling in the police and sought, however fumblingly, to foster negotiations among the parties?

You present a good guys — bad guys polarization as phoney as the stereotypes generated in any war. You even conjure up enemy atrocities to incite your cannon fodder into the breach of confrontation. (e.g. such inflammatory gossip as, "it is rumoured (sic) that the police beat up some girls," "they want to destroy the movement," and "he displayed his contempt and cynicism for the activists in a remark to a governor...") How would you know what "he" remarked to a governor?

On February 12, you dismissed the destruction at Sir George as a tactical error. It was no tactical error. It was the logical result of the closed circuit thinking and the hate-mongering which you and like-minded people are substituting for effective strategies for change. In this, you are very like the Pentagon — you keep repeating your distorted rhetoric to one another until you become blind to the most elementary facts which don't fit your demonology. In consequence, you drive yourselves blindly towards a new "everybody loses" outcome.

Democratization can't be achieved by mini-fascist methods. Tension, rumormongering, disruption of learning, turning the university from an open institution to a careful, restricted, suspicious one can. Destruction can. War on the campus can. Communication, modernization of learning experiences, greater involvement in Quebec can't.

The message to those of us who up to now have gone about our business, leaving these conflicts to be settled between you and the administration is loud and clear. We must turn out in large enough numbers to "cool" the anti-democratic excesses of doctrinaire extremists.

C. G. Gifford,
Associate Professor,
School of Social Work

The moral world is not a virgin

Sir,

I have been sent a copy of your issue of February 6 in which you refer to an editorial which appeared in the Daily in 1958. Your comments about it are inaccurate — seriously and witlessly so — and you mislead your readers about a rather important event in Quebec politics.

You reprinted an editorial I wrote called "The Shame of English Canada" which made the now-familiar argument that the upper class of English Canada tacitly and openly supported the Duplessis regime and that

the difficulty of McGill University in general was specifically augmented because members of its Board of Governors depended on Duplessis' good will for the maintenance of their positions and business in Quebec. According to subsequent article in the Canadian Journal of Economics and Political Science by Pierre Elliott Trudeau, this was the first time such a statement appeared in any English language publication in this province. The next day we printed an "Apologia" editorial which you quite rightly imply was the result of pressure on Editor-in-Chief Neville Linton by the then-Principal Cyril James.

But you just ignore all the circumstances; and the ungenerous implications of your remarks is that students and the Daily in that period lacked the political savvy and courage which one gathers is now supposed to be more prevalent. Let me make some comments for the record and because it is wrong, unnecessary, and silly for McGill's students now to assume that they are the first to concern themselves with vital issues of learning and living.

You say "This editorial was not part of a consistent Daily policy". Wrong. Among other matters which we discussed before this particular piece were the barbarism of Army Day, the preposterousness of Founder's Day, the shady connection of The Montreal Star with the anti-Drapeau pro-Fournier mayoralty campaigns, the obscene hypocrisy of the Combined Charities manipulation, the Federal Government's callousness about the unemployment in Montreal, the potentially healthy effects of a revolution in Venezuela; we wrote about "This Rotting Province"; we couldn't believe anyone would build anything as inhumanly ugly as the Queen Elizabeth hotel. We paid attention to campus affairs, of course; that was part of our job. But we just did not spend "most of (our) time in that era taking about football games and winter carnival".

"The shame of English Canada" was written, discussed between those responsible for the editorials that day, and sent to the printer. Neville Linton returned to our offices to write the editorial we planned to run because we were certain we would be suspended. The first call the next morning was from Frank Scott, congratulating us.

The next was from Cyril James' office; Linton made the visit. James was reasonably cool, we could write anything we pleased, of course. But there had, had there not, been a faint suggestion that the Governors were corrupt and unconcerned with McGill's interests as they saw them. Would we not simply clarify the matter?

While this was happening I was petulantly mailing copies of the Daily to the homes of the Governors; very comical. Linton returned to the Daily offices and for a simple reason I will give in a moment we decided to run the apology. For the first and last time during the year we ran three editorials and put "Apologia" in the middle — we wouldn't run it first and overtly-timidly were reluctant to run it last.

The last line of your article is "And then, the silence returned". It's a good line for a C movie but nevertheless wrong. Again. The chief reason we decided not to make an issue of that particular issue was that a far more serious one loomed. On the same day we printed Apologia we printed a news story about an impending student strike about which plans had been in formation for some time. On March 6th, virtually all the students in universities and colleges in the province boycotted classes. It was the first time that French and English speaking students had united in political action. And so far as I know, it was one of the first, if not the first display in Canada of responsible, radical student power. It was also the first major province-wide demonstration against the government of Maurice Duplessis.

We printed Apologia because we were sensible rebels; no one gives up a newspaper a week before a major strike. We kept the Daily and added our help to a successful enterprise.

So be a little more careful when you sanctimoniously abuse your predecessors. We were people too. "To the blind everything is sudden." Don't be misled by your own ardent vanity. The moral world is not a virgin and you were not its first red-hot poker. And, hey, on page three of your Feb. 6 issue there's a photo of the Carnival princesses. What's the matter? Losing your Maoist nerve?

Lionel Tiger

JV Basketball

Tonight, the Junior Varsity basketball team play the Loyola cagers at Loyola in a game that will decide not only first place, but also the participants in the playoffs. At the present time, Loyola has a 6-1 won-lost record, leading both McGill and CMR by a full game. As only the top two teams make the eliminations, the Indians must win in order to deadlock both rivals for the top spot.

In their last game, the Indians trounced CMR by a score of 78-50. Since that time, they have acquired two new players to help bolster the roster, and avenge their earlier defeat to Loyola. Pat Rahming, well-known football stalwart, will use his leap-

ing power to help John Darby and Howard Roseman on the boards, while gutsy Gerry Orris will help out in the backcourt.

Coach John Rumble has been drilling his players methodically in order to prepare them mentally and physically for the big tilt. But he can't do it for them. The Indians are quite capable of beating Loyola, but they have to hustle and take advantage of the opportunities that will most certainly come their way. Loyola is a well-coached ball club and they won't be pushovers. Roseman and Darby must come up with big performances, to say nothing of guard Phillip Thompson.

Gray...

Continued from page 1

sue! Even the CAUT procedures they propose gives no one at McGill (except them) a say in the decision."

The CAUT procedure, to become effective, must be agreed to by Gray. Gray stated he does not accept the present stipulations, and if Robertson refuses to drop the charges, intends to propose several changes in them.

Furthermore, he stated, "Robertson is not himself abiding by the code", since the specific change is worded in terms of the University Statutes, and not under the CAUT code's definition of permissible charges.

Gray received a letter from Robertson late yesterday afternoon, which specifically outlined the charges. It read:

"You, being a member of the teaching staff of the University, are hereby informed that I recommend that you be dismissed from the University for the following reasons, namely: for having wilfully impeded the business of the University.

"1. in attempting to disrupt a meeting of the Nominating Committee of the Senate convened on the afternoon of the 24th January, 1969, in the Administration building on campus: - by forcing yourself into meeting rooms knowing that it was to hold a closed meeting and refusing to

leave the area when required to do so by the Principal in the exercise of his duties; and

"2. in disrupting the meeting of the Board of Governors convened on the afternoon of the 27th January, 1969 in the Administration Building on campus: - by forcing yourself into the meeting without presenting an admission ticket, participating with students during the meeting in a disorderly and noisy demonstration that prevented the transaction of the meeting's business and refusing to leave the meeting when required to do so by the Chancellor and the Principal, both then in the exercise of their duties, following a resolution of the meeting that spectators should withdraw; and

"3. in disrupting a meeting of the Senate convened on the afternoon of the 5th February, 1969, in the Leacock Building on campus by participating with students during the meeting in a disorderly and noisy demonstration that prevented the transaction of the meeting's business;

"the whole constituting conduct that affected adversely or was likely to affect adversely the general well-being of the University..."

Included in the letter were two copies of a contract, already signed by Robertson, agreeing to go through the CAUT arbitration procedures; a blank was left for Gray to sign.

arts and science undergraduate society

- Preference poll: Arts & Science Deanship
- Elections - U.G.E.Q. - Delegates
- Constitutional amendments

Due to unforeseen circumstances, there will be no poll at Bishop Mountain Hall.

All Arts and Science students (i.e., including partial and limited students) are eligible to vote in the Deanship poll, but only A.S.U.S. members (full-time students) may vote in the elections for UGEQ delegates and for or against the constitutional amendments.

Howard Stanislawski
Chief Returning Officer

Puck Redmen routed 7-0 by Macdonald Clansmen

Redmen hockey coach Brian Gilmour was peeved that no announcement appeared in Tuesday's Daily of last night's Winter Stadium game between his team and the Macdonald College Clansmen, but he should have been thankful. An unaltered campus mercifully produced a season's low attendance of 75 bodies to watch the Clansmen smother their city cousins, 7-0.

Collectively, the Redmen have never looked worse under Gilmour. The defence stood and watched their hustling opponents and rarely stopped them; the forwards had amny chances, outshooting the Clansmen, 43-25, but blew all of them.

But the forwards and defence-man have played poorly before, and the Redmen have still managed to keep the score close due to the spectacular goaltending of Norm Lord. Last night, Lord was awful.

Scoring splurge

Macdonald, the fifth place team in the Loyola-dominated Ottawa St. Lawrence, began the Redmen's collapse with four goals in 3½ minutes in the first period. The defence pair of Ken Ross and Cliff Moore were directly responsible for three of the four goals, and Gilmour was especially angry at the usually reliable Ross after the game.

Dave Roxburgh opened the scoring for Mac when he found the puck, which Ross had lost in his own end, and banged it

behind Lord. Seconds later Ross and Moore placidly allowed Wayne Barrow to pounce on a rebound and carefully aim for an opening for to score.

Ross saw his ordeal continued when he was caught out of position and slow-moving Moore had to face a two-on-one break. Bruce Killam walked in to score.

Finally, Roxburgh whacked at the puck and put it over Lord's shoulder for a flukey goal that gave the Clansmen an insurmountable 4-0 lead with six minutes left in the first period. The Redmen settled down somewhat in the next two periods, but could not beat Mac goalie Barry Jackman, who played energetically to earn his shut-out.

While Jackman was frustrating Skippy Kerner, Pete Burgess, Jean Dupéré, and the rest of the Redmen forwards, the Clansmen waited for the breaks to pad their lead. OSL scoring sensation Alex Matthew beat Lord once in the

second period, again in the third and Roxburgh completed his hat-trick to finish the scoring.

The Clansmen further embarrassed the Redmen by taking all seven of the penalties called in the game, and successively stifling the Redmen power-play on all seven. At one time, Macdonald played two men short for a minute and 19 seconds, but the closest McGill came to a goal was a deflected shot by Burgess that hit the post.

It was officially a Coupe de Québec match. The Redmen are now 4-8 against Quebec rivals, and, perhaps more significantly, 3-4 against OSL teams.

The Birks Trophy game with the Montreal Carabins tomorrow night is not a Coupe de Québec game, for some reason, but gives the Redmen their only opportunity to win some silverware this season. Les Carabins won last year and will win again if the Redmen are as unproductive as last night.

audrey hepburn
wait
until
dark
alan arkin

p.s.c.a.
feb 19 wed
6.30 & 9.00
75¢

"Resolved that the university should be the vanguard of social change"

See the final debate of the

MCGILL INTERCOLLEGIATE DEBATING TOURNAMENT

(Thurs Feb. 20-Sat. Feb. 22)

on Sat. Feb. 22 at 3 pm
in the Moot Court of the Law Bldg.

between the best 2 teams out of 70 US and Canadian participating teams.

For more information on the Tournament and on the final debate, come and see us in the Debating Union office, B-42.

Student Zionist Organization presents

"Student Opportunities in Israel"

an informal discussion with

SHRAGA MILSTEIN

on summer and yearly programs of study, volunteer work, and Kibbutz life in Israel.

Wed. Feb. 19

1 pm

L-111

Hi I'm From CFOX Salut, Je Suis de CJMS

Feb. 16-23
The
INCREDIBLE SAXONS
Also
The Ohio Hustlers

NIGHTLY
7 P.M. - Midnight
Soul Sunday Matinees
2 P.M. - 7 P.M.

Admission
Weekdays .99 cents;
Weekends \$1.50

PLACE
du SOUL

1650 BERRI ST.
METRO BERRI & DEMONTIGNY
INFO: 842.2405